

Appl. No. 10/054,628  
Docket No. 7571RD  
Amdt. dated October 31, 2007  
Reply to Office Action mailed on October 9, 2007  
Customer No. 27752

## REMARKS

### Claim Status

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11, and 13-22 are pending in the present application. Claims 7, 10 and 12 were previously cancelled. Claims 1, 19, and 21 are amended. No additional claims fee is believed to be due.

### Rejection Under 35 USC §103(a) Over Roe in view of Vega

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11, and 13-22 have been rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Roe in view of Vega. However, said rejection is no longer applicable in light of the amendments made to independent Claims 1, 19, and 21. Particularly, the compositions disclosed by Roe, Vega, and Gale do not have the apparent viscosities as claimed by Applicants.

### Conclusion

This response represents an earnest effort to place the present application in proper form and to distinguish the invention as claimed from the applied reference(s). In view of the foregoing, entry of the amendment(s) presented herein, reconsideration of this application, and allowance of the pending claim(s) are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By



Richard L. Alexander  
Registration No. 52,463  
(513) 634-1602

Date: October 31, 2007  
Customer No. 27752  
(Amendment-Response to Office Action.doc)  
Revised 11/17/2006